

Vespa pensylvanica 正體中文

System: Terrestrial

Kingdom	Phylum	Class	Order	Family
Animalia	Arthropoda	Insecta	Hymenoptera	Vespidae

Common name Pensylvenia-Wespe (German), western yellowjacket (English), guêpe de l'ouest (French)

Synonym

Similar species

Summary "Western yellowjackets (*Vespa pensylvanica*) are predators, feeding on a wide range of invertebrate taxa, with great potential for negative impact on the native fauna in insular habitats.\n\"



[view this species on IUCN Red List](#)

Species Description

Vespa pensylvanica are striking yellow and black wasps measuring approximately 1.5cm in length (Gruner & Foote, 2000). Workers and queens have a distinctive complete yellow eye ring around each compound eye. However at least one other yellowjacket, *Vespa sulphurea* also has this eye ring. *V. sulphurea* can be distinguished from *V. pensylvanica* by two distinctive yellow longitudinal stripes on its thorax (R. Vetter, pers. comm.).

Notes

It looks like New Zealand *Vespa vulgaris* is not the same as the US species *Vespa vulgaris* (on the basis of preliminary molecular work), (Beggs, pers.comm., 2003).

Reproduction

The nests of *Vespa pensylvanica* are mostly subterranean, often in old rodent burrows. The nests can be large, up to 11,000 cells. This species takes live prey as well as scavenging. *V. pensylvanica* becomes a pest in the Northwest U.S. where there are outbreaks of high populations every few years (Source: Matthew P. Kweskin). "Climate is a major constraint on yellowjacket reproductive behaviour; cold weather depletes normal food supplies, resulting in a reduction of colony individuals during cold winter months. However, in Hawai'i with its warmer year-round climate, colonies appear to enlarge during warm winter months causing population explosions in areas it has invaded."\n"

Nutrition

Vespa pensylvanica has an affinity to scavenge. In addition, it tends to nest in the open near recreational areas, and is a common visitor to picnic areas and garbage receptacles. Its workers have been known to forage up to 1800 feet from the nest, but the normal foraging range is about 1100 feet.

General Impacts

Wasps impact in a range of human activities and values, from conservation, forestry, beekeeping and horticulture sectors to human-health. Wasp stings are painful at best, and life-threatening at worst. A small proportion of the population will have a severe allergic reaction (called anaphylactic shock), which can be fatal unless treated promptly (Landcare Research 2007).

In forests wasps may eat huge numbers of native insects and consume large quantities of sugary honeydew. By eating so much, wasps take potential food sources away from native animals and disrupt the natural food chain and ecosystem cycling of the forest (Landcare Research 2007). Western yellowjackets are predators which prey on a wide range of invertebrate taxa. Their great potential for negative impacts on native invertebrate is evidenced in Hawaii, where they threaten endemic invertebrates (which have evolved in the absence of wasps and without any anti-predator adaptations). Direct reduction of native arthropod species diversity and abundance may lead to other problems, such as a decrease in food availability for native forest birds and pollinators for native plants (Gruner & Foote, 2000).

Wasps bring with them a financial burden too. They are economic pests of primary industries such as beekeeping, forestry and horticulture (Beggs 2000). Wasps totally destroy or seriously affect 10% of beehives, which translates to a significant financial loss (Clapperton et al., 1989). Beehives are often placed near honeydew forests or other unique sources of nectar to produce strong-flavoured honey. However, wasps can reduce honey production by reducing nectar and honeydew supplies and cause honeybees to stay home to conserve energy and protect their hive from raiding wasps (Landcare Research 2007).

Management Info

Preventative: After a 1988 study which found 90.2% of Christmas trees imported into Hawaii contained live insects, the Hawaii Department of Agriculture (HDOA) imposed a zero tolerance for all live yellowjacket and hornet queens. A tree-shaking certification programme was established which required exporters to shake trees after harvest to remove insects via manual shaking of 10% of trees in the presence of an agricultural inspector, or mechanical shaking of 100% of trees with no inspection requirement. Hollingsworth et al. (2009) assessed the efficacy of the shaking programme and found that mechanical shaking was significantly more effective than manual shaking, but that both techniques were inadequate for removal of yellowjacket queens. In the case of the mechanical shaking, it may not prove the inadequacy of the mechanical shaking procedure, but rather that the procedures after shaking may have allowed trees to become reinfested, or that shaking was insufficient. Shaking effectiveness is influenced by design of the shaker, weight of the tree and shaking time. Trees should be shaken just before loading trees for export. However, although improved shaking practices are an important first step to reducing quarantine risk, they are unlikely to solve the problem completely. The authors recommend an integrated approach that includes the application of a preharvest permethrin spray combined with thorough mechanical shaking just before loading Christmas trees into containers (Hollingsworth et al., 2009).

Monitoring: In Hawaii populations are monitored closely to aid in future analyses of distribution. This is accomplished using "yellowjacket inns" baited with heptyl butyrate chemical attractant (Gruner & Foote, 2000). Directions for use can be found in [Management Strategies for Western Yellowjackets in Hawaii](#).

Chemical: "Almost as soon as the 1977 population was discovered, nest eradication and/or control programmes were initiated on various islands in Hawai'i to attempt to control the yellowjacket. The toxicant bendiocarb, used for nest eradication, is not registered in the USA for use in agricultural situations, so its implementation had to be outside of agricultural fields. Chang (1988) discusses the use of toxic baiting in the control of yellowjackets. His results showed that the most effective combination of bait and chemical toxicant was 0.5% microencapsulated diazinon mixed with canned Figaro brand tuna cat food. Amidino-hydrazone in a similar bait mix was also effective, but less so than diazinon mix. Dispenser colour for the bait also proved critical, the preferred colour of bait dispenser being translucent white."

Principal source: Matthew P. Kwasinski, Evergreen State College, WA.

[Invasive Species in the Pacific: A Technical Review and Draft Regional Strategy \(2000\)](#) (SPREP)

Compiler: IUCN/SSC Invasive Species Specialist Group (ISSG)

